

STATE OF MAINE  
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Docket No. 2002-584

October 30, 2002

VERIZON NEW ENGLAND INC.  
D/B/A VERIZON MAINE  
Request for Approval of Amendment Nos.  
1 & 2 to Interconnection Agreement with  
Portland Cellular Partnership d/b/a  
Verizon Wireless

ORDER APPROVING  
AMENDMENT NOS. 1 & 2  
TO INTERCONNECTION  
AGREEMENT WITH  
PORTLAND CELLULAR  
PARTNERSHIP D/B/A  
VERIZON WIRELESS

WELCH, Chairman; NUGENT and DIAMOND, Commissioners

---

In this Order, we approve two amendments to an Interconnection Agreement between New England Telephone and Telegraph Company d/b/a Bell Atlantic - Maine (Bell Atlantic) and Portland Cellular Partnership a/k/a Cellco Partnership, d/b/a Verizon Wireless (VZW), pursuant to section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

On June 1, 2000, in Docket No. 2000-405, the Commission approved an interconnection agreement between Bell Atlantic and Portland Cellular Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless. On August 1, 2000, Bell Atlantic changed its name to Verizon New England Inc. d/b/a Verizon Maine.

On September 27, 2002, Verizon Maine filed Amendment Nos. 1 & 2 to its agreement with Portland Cellular Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252, enacted by the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Interconnection agreements provide for interconnection between an incumbent local exchange carrier (ILEC) and another telecommunications carrier, including a competitive local exchange carrier (CLEC). An interconnection agreement may allow a telecommunications carrier to purchase unbundled network elements or local services at a discounted wholesale rate (the discount reflecting avoided cost), or both, from an ILEC (or CLEC). Amendment No. 1 adds additional parties to the agreement. Amendment No 2 clarifies the applicability of reciprocal compensation for Internet traffic.

Section 252(e)(2) states that a state commission may reject a negotiated agreement only if it finds that "the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement" or if "the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity." We received no comments by the comment deadline set in an October 9, 2002 Notice of Agreement and Opportunity to Comment. We do not make either of the findings set for in section 252(e)(2) for rejection, and we therefore approve the agreement amendment.

We reserve judgment on whether the rates contained in the amended agreements are reasonable from the perspective of Verizon Maine's retail ratepayers. Verizon Maine is presently under an alternative form of regulation (AFOR) ordered by the Commission in Docket No. 94-123. The AFOR began in December, 1995. Under the AFOR, Verizon Maine bears the risk of lost revenues resulting from rates that are too low. In Docket No. 99-851, we have continued the AFOR until May 31, 2006. We do not resolve whether Verizon Maine is receiving reasonable compensation from any CLECs that may avail themselves of the rates provided to VZW pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252(i).

The agreement amendments filed by Verizon Maine provide for interconnection between VZW and Verizon Maine's network in Maine. If VZW seeks to interconnect with networks maintained by other incumbent local exchange carriers in Maine, it must seek a termination, suspension, or modification of the exemption contained in 47 U.S.C. 251(f)(1)(A).

### **ORDERING PARAGRAPHS**

Accordingly, we

1. Approve Amendment Nos. 1 & 2 to the Interconnection Agreement between New England Telephone and Telegraph Company d/b/a Bell Atlantic - Maine and Portland Cellular Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless, attached hereto, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252(e); and

2. Order that the Administrative Director shall make a copy of the attached Amendments available for public inspection and copying pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 252(h) within 10 days of the date of this Order.

Dated at Augusta, Maine, this 30<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2002.

**BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION**

---

Dennis L. Keschl  
Administrative Director

COMMISSIONERS VOTING FOR: Welch

Nugent  
Diamond

NOTICE OF RIGHTS TO REVIEW OR APPEAL

5 M.R.S.A. § 9061 requires the Public Utilities Commission to give each party to an adjudicatory proceeding written notice of the party's rights to review or appeal of its decision made at the conclusion of the adjudicatory proceeding. The methods of review or appeal of PUC decisions at the conclusion of an adjudicatory proceeding are as follows:

1. Reconsideration of the Commission's Order may be requested under Section 1004 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (65-407 C.M.R.110) within 20 days of the date of the Order by filing a petition with the Commission stating the grounds upon which reconsideration is sought.
2. Appeal of a final decision of the Commission may be taken to the Law Court by filing, within **21 days** of the date of the Order, a Notice of Appeal with the Administrative Director of the Commission, pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 1320(1)-(4) and the Maine Rules of Appellate Procedure.
3. Additional court review of constitutional issues or issues involving the justness or reasonableness of rates may be had by the filing of an appeal with the Law Court, pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 1320(5).

Note: The attachment of this Notice to a document does not indicate the Commission's view that the particular document may be subject to review or appeal. Similarly, the failure of the Commission to attach a copy of this Notice to a document does not indicate the Commission's view that the document is not subject to review or appeal.